

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alcassedan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/547,992	06/13/2006	Roland Verreet	BE-164PCT	8220
40570 LUCAS & ME	7590 05/02/201 ERCANTI, LLP	EXAMINER		
475 Park Aven	ue South, 15th Floor		GRAY, JILL M	
New York, NY	( 10016		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1798	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/02/2011	ELECTRONIC .

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

INFO@LMIPLAW.COM

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

1	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/547,992	VERREET, ROLAND	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jill Gray	1798	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 05 April 2011 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.	
1. Me reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, application must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continue Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:	
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.	
b) The period for reply expires on. (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later, no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TO.	
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed its the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is acciudated form: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for regively originally set in the final Office action; or (2) set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely file may reduce any armed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	e as
NOTICE OF APPEAL	
2. The reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing an appeal brief. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal as been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENOMENTS	al
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because	
(a) \( \sum \) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);  (b) \( \sum \) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);	
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or	
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.	
NOTE: <u>The proposed amendment to claim 1 raises new issues not previously considered.</u> (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).	
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s)</li> </ol>	
7. ☐ For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)☐ will not be entered, or b)☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	V
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).	
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.  REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	
11. \( \extstyle \) The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicants' arguments have been considered but are not found to be presuasive. The prior art teaches that the outer strand is wound and compressed around the jacket. As to pressing the outer layer into the plastic material during stranding, it is the examiner's position that the selection of any order of performing process steps is prima facie obvious in the absence of new or	
unexpected results. In re Gibson, 39 F.2d 975, 5 USPQ 230 (CCPA 1930); MPEP 2144.04.	
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s)   13. Other:	
/Jill Gray/ Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1798	

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)	Application No.	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 9-04)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20110413